

Communication-avoiding optimization methods for fermion matrix inverters

Alexei Strelchenko

Scientific Computing Division @ Fermilab

July 23, 2018

Overview

- ECP activity
 - ▶ ECP solver group communicates with P. Eller, UIUC, and M. Hoemmen, Sandia Lab
- CA optimization approaches
 - ▶ Computation optimization (power-kernels , block orth. etc.)
 - ▶ Algorithm optimization (pipelining, enlarged search subspace)
- Numerical stability
- Trilinos library
 - ▶ CA optimized algorithms and kernels (TSQRT, iter. solvers and preconditioners)

CA solvers in focus

- S-step Krylov solvers
- Pipelined solvers:
 - ▶ Ghysels and Vanroose (PipePCG algorithm)
 - ▶ Gropp and Eller (Pipe2PCG algorithm)
 - ▶ Cornelis, Cools and Vanroose (Deep pipelined p(l)-PCG)
- EKS and Block methods:
 - ▶ Grigori et al (SRE-CG)

Two-term recurrence pipelined PCG scheme

- P. Ghysels and W. Vanroose, Journal of Parallel Computing 40 (2014) 224-238

- 1 Input: problem matrix A , precond. matrix M , source vector x .
- 2 Output: solution vector x .
- 3 $r_0 = b - Ax_0$, $u_0 = Mr_0$, $w_0 = Au_0$
- 4 $\delta_0 = (w_0, u_0)$, $\gamma_0 = (r_0, u_0)$, $\text{norm}(u_0)$
- 5 Start main loop:
- 6 Compute CG coefficients α , β
- 7 Update iter. residual, solution and aux fields: 8 saxpy's
- 8 $\delta_j = (w_j, u_j)$, $\gamma_j = (r_j, u_j)$, $\text{norm}(u_j)$
- 9 $m_j = Mw_j$, $n_j = Am_j$

Three-term recurrence pipelined PCG scheme

- P. Eller, W. Gropp SC 2016 204-215

- 1 Input: problem matrix A , precond. matrix M , source vector x .
- 2 Output: solution vector x .
- 3 $r_0 = b - Ax_0$, $u_0 = Mr_0$, $w_0 = Au_0$
- 4 $\delta_0 = (w_0, u_0)$, $\gamma_0 = (r_0, u_0)$, $\text{norm}(u_0)$
- 5 $p_0 = Mw_0$, $q_0 = Ap_0$
- 6 $c_0 = Mq_0$, $d_0 = Ac_0$
- 7 Start main loop (combined two iterations):
- 8 Compute CG coefficients
- 9 Update iter. residual, solution and aux fields: 14 saxpy's
- 10 Compute 10 dot products
- 11 $c_j = Mq_j$, $d_j = Ac_j$
- 12 $g_j = Md_j$, $h_j = Ag_j$

Enlarged Krylov Subspace Methods (L. Grigori et. al. 2014)

- Partition the lattice into t subdomains
- Split the residual r_0 into t vectors corresponding to the t domains:

$$r_0 \rightarrow T(r_0) = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & * \end{bmatrix}$$

- The EKS solver generate t new basis vectors to obtain an enlarged Krylov subspace

$$K_{t,k+1}(A, r_0) = \text{Span}\{T(r_0), AT(r_0), \dots, A^k T(r_0)\}$$

- The approximate solution: $x_{k+1} \in x_0 + K_{t,k+1}(A, r_0)$

Enlarged Krylov Methods (cont.)

- The EKS is a superset of the classical KS : $K_{k+1} \subset K_{t,k+1}$
- The enlarged subspaces are increasing subspaces, yet bounded

$$K_{t,1}(A, r_0) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq K_{t,kmax}(A, r_0) = K_{t,kmax+n}(A, r_0)$$

- We can consider EKM as a particular case of a block Krylov method:

$$AX = T(b), R_0 = T(b - Ax_0)$$

Enlarged CG algorithm

- Idea: use BlockCG framework for $R^0 = T(r_0)$
- The iter. residual $r_k = \sum_s R_s^{(k)}$
- The final solution $x = \sum_s X_s$
- The ECG converged in $k_{max}^{ECG} \leq k_{max}^{CG}$

Enlarged CG (Grigori et al, 2014)

1 $x_0 = 0, R^{(0)} = T(b - Ax_0).$

2 $V^{(0)} = A\text{-orthonormalize}(R^{(0)})$

3 Start main loop untill $\left| \left| \sum_{s=0}^t R_s^{(k)} \right| \right| < \epsilon * \left| \left| b \right| \right|:$

4 $V^{(k)} = AV^{(k-1)}$

A-orthonormalize against $V^{(k-1)}$ and $V^{(k-2)}$:

5 $V^{(k)} = V^{(k)} - V^{(k-1)}V^{(k-1)T}AV^{(k)} - V^{(k-2)}V^{(k-2)T}AV^{(k)}$

6 $V^{(k)} = A\text{-orthonormalize}(V^{(k)})$

7 $T^{(k)} = V^{(k)T}R^{(k-1)}$

8 $X^{(k)} = X^{(k-1)} + V^{(k)}T^{(k)}$

9 $R^{(k)} = R^{(k-1)} - AV^{(k)}T^{(k)}$

10 $T^{(k)} = V^{(k)T}AR^{(k)}$

The solution $x = \sum_{s=0}^t X_s^{(k)}$:

Numerical stability of CA solvers

- Residual gap for classic CG algorithm:

$$f_{i+1} = b - A\bar{x}_{i+1} - \bar{r}_{i+1} = f_0 - \sum_{k=0}^i (A\epsilon_k^x + \epsilon_k^r)$$

- Local rounding errors are trivially accumulated in residual gap
- Unfortunately, for the CA optimized versions rounding errors propagation pattern is much more complicated: (see, e.g. Cools, arXiv:1804.02962)

$$f_{i+1} = f_0 - \sum_{k=0}^i F(\epsilon_k^x, \epsilon_k^r, \epsilon_k^p, \epsilon_k^u, \dots)$$

Numerical stability of CA solvers

- In general, 3-term algo is less stable than 2-term one
 - ▶ M. Gutknecht, Z.Strakos, 2000
- (deep) pipelines further aggravate rounding error effects

Issues with variable preconditioning

- The precond. residual itself is computed via recursion:

$$u_{i+1} = M^{-1}r_{i+1} = M^{-1}(r_i - \alpha_i s_{i+1}) \approx u_i - \alpha_i q_{i+1}$$

- that is inexact even in exact arithmetics!
- → accumulation of errors in the preconditioned residual u_{i+1}

BCGrQ (A. Dubrulle, 2001)

Idea : improve BCG algorithm stability by performing a QR decomposition of the residual matrix R_k before constructing the descent directions
 $(V^{(k)} = P^{(k)}C^{(k-1)})$

1 $X^{(0)} = 0, Q^{(0)}C^{(0)} = B, S^{(0)} = I, P^{(0)} = 0.$

2 Start main loop:

3 $P^{(k)} = Q^{(k-1)} + P^{(k-1)}S^{(k-1)T}$

4 $T^{(k)} = (P^{(k)T}AP^{(k)})^{-1}$

5 $X^{(k)} = X^{(k-1)} + P^{(k)}T^{(k)}C^{(k-1)}$

6 $Q^{(k)}S^{(k)} = Q^{(k-1)} - AP^{(k)}T^{(k)}$

7 $C^{(k)} = S^{(k)}C^{(k-1)}$

Example: mixed precision pipePCG

- Test setup:
 - ▶ Size : $32^3 \times 256$
 - ▶ Outer solver: pipePCG ($tol = 1e - 8$)
 - ▶ Inner solver: minRes (10 iters)
 - ▶ Precision of outer solver: single
 - ▶ Precision of inner solver: half
- 16 FNAL GPU nodes (4 K40m per node)
 - ▶ Convergence in 65 iters
 - ▶ Residual replacements: 10
 - ▶ Asynchronous global reduction : 1.2 secs
 - ▶ Blocking (single) global reduction : 2.9 secs

Trilinos library

- Approaches
 - ▶ Access to Trilinos iterative solvers and preconditioners
 - ▶ Access to low-level (CA) kernels
- Kokkos support
- Testing platform

Access to algorithms

- Looking at Belos package:
 - ▶ Solver managers (BCGrQ, BGMRESDR etc.)
 - ▶ Interfaces to linear algebra, orthog. methods etc.
- Two main solvers stacks for sparse LA and parallel data redistribution facilities:
 - ▶ Epetra
 - ▶ Tpetra
- Memory management (Teuchos):
 - ▶ BLAS and LAPACK wrappers, smart pointers, parameter lists, and XML parsers

Access to CA optimized methods of Tpetra

- Uses the Kokkos sm parallel programming model
 - ▶ OpenMP
 - ▶ POSIX threads
 - ▶ CUDA
- Several impl. for TSQR (CUDA - currently WIP)
- Testing platform : QUDA within Singularity HPC containers

Operator interface

```
1  /// \class Operator
2  /// \brief Abstract interface for operators (e.g., matrices and
3  ///     preconditioners).
4  ///
5  /// \tparam Scalar The type of the entries of the input and output
6  ///     MultiVector objects.
7  /// \tparam LocalOrdinal The type of local indices.
8  /// \tparam GlobalOrdinal The type of global indices.
9  /// \tparam Node The Kokkos Node type.
10 ///
11 /// An Operator takes a MultiVector as input, and fills a given
12 /// output MultiVector with the result.
13 ///
14 /// Operator is just an interface, not an implementation. Many
15 /// different classes implement this interface, including sparse
16 /// matrices, direct solvers, iterative solvers, and
17 /// preconditioners.
18 template <class Scalar = ::Tpetra::Details::DefaultTypes::scalar_type,
19   class LocalOrdinal
20   = ::Tpetra::Details::DefaultTypes::local_ordinal_type,
21   class GlobalOrdinal
22   = ::Tpetra::Details::DefaultTypes::global_ordinal_type,
23   class Node = ::Tpetra::Details::DefaultTypes::node_type>
24 class Operator : virtual public Teuchos::Describable { ...
```

Map class

```
1  /// \class Map
2  /// \brief A parallel distribution of indices over processes.
3  ///
4  /// \tparam LocalOrdinal The type of local indices (int).
5  /// \tparam GlobalOrdinal The type of global indices (int or long).
6  /// sizeof(GlobalOrdinal) >= sizeof(LocalOrdinal);
7  /// \tparam Node A class implementing on-node shared-memory parallel
8  /// operations.
9  /// The default \c Node type should suffice for most users.
10 /// The actual default type depends on your Trilinos build options.
11 /// This must be one of the following:
12 /// Kokkos::Compat::KokkosCudaWrapperNode
13 /// Kokkos::Compat::KokkosOpenMPWrapperNode
14 /// Kokkos::Compat::KokkosThreadsWrapperNode
15 /// Kokkos::Compat::KokkosSerialWrapperNode
16 /// Requires the Teuchos memory management classes.
17 /// Allows for "overlapping Maps"
18 template <class LocalOrdinal
19   = ::Tpetra::Details::DefaultTypes::local_ordinal_type,
20   class GlobalOrdinal
21   = ::Tpetra::Details::DefaultTypes::global_ordinal_type,
22   class Node = ::Tpetra::Details::DefaultTypes::node_type>
23 class Map : public Teuchos::Describable { ...
```

Singularity HPC containers (joined effort with Jim Simone)

- Developed for HPC type infrastructure (and "untrusted users")
 - ▶ can be executed like a native program or script
 - ▶ simple integration with job schedulers
 - ▶ bind host system driver libraries (e.g., NVIDIA GPU driver libs)
- Support several (convertable) container formats (squashfs, extfs, dir)
- Compatible with docker
- Valuable development tool for Trilinos framework

Building the stuff

```
1
2 cmake -D CMAKE_CXX_COMPILER=mpicxx \
3 -D Trilinos_CXX11_FLAGS="--ext-extended-lambda" \
4 -D TPL_ENABLE_MPI=ON \
5 -D TPL_ENABLE_CUDA=ON \
6 -D Kokkos_ENABLE_Cuda=ON \
7 -D KOKKOS_ARCH=Pascal61 \
8 -D Kokkos_ENABLE_Cuda_UVM=ON \
9 -D Kokkos_ENABLE_Cuda_Lambda_BOOL=ON \
10 -D Trilinos_ENABLE_Epetra=OFF \
11 -D Trilinos_ENABLE_Tpetra=ON \
12 -D Trilinos_ENABLE_Belos=ON $TRILINOS_PATH
13
```

Running containers

- Start singularity shell session:

```
singularity exec -nv -B /usr/bin:/opt/nvidia ohpc-quda-tril.simg bash
```

- nv option needed to bind GPU environment

- Run the application:

```
./trilinos_block_invert_test -recon 12 -prec double -dslash-type wilson  
-dim 16 16 16 16 -mass -0.8 -tol 1e-10 -niter 250 -nsrc 8 -msrc 8
```

Running containers (cont.)

```
=====
TimeMonitor results over 1 processor

Timer Name                                Global time (num calls)
-----
Belos: BlockCGSolMgr total solve time      35.71 (1)
Belos: DGKS[2]: Ortho (Inner Product)       2.618 (816)
Belos: DGKS[2]: Ortho (Norm)                 0.2268 (2376)
Belos: DGKS[2]: Ortho (Update)               2.59 (816)
Belos: DGKS[2]: Orthogonalization           6.877 (108)
Belos: Operation Op*x                      21.63 (108)
Belos: Operation Prec*x                   0 (0)
-----
----- Actual Residuals (normalized) -----
Problem 0 :  9.85637e-11
Problem 1 :  8.2153e-11
Problem 2 :  9.18115e-11
Problem 3 :  9.66285e-11
Problem 4 :  7.94028e-11
Problem 5 :  8.89036e-11
Problem 6 :  8.48587e-11
Problem 7 :  8.24447e-11

End Result: TEST PASSED
```

Figure : Trilinos execution

Conclusion

- Pipelined:
 - ▶ Optimized global comms but may effect convergence
 - ▶ Increase arithmetic intensity: merged LA operations
 - ▶ Already enabled in a number of solvers
- EKS:
 - ▶ Decrease num. of iterations and global comms
 - ▶ Increase arithmetic intensity: block LA, multi-rhs mat-vec
 - ▶ But this uses a block method for a single rhs: may not give an appropriate performance gain for LQCD tasks
- Test of Trilinos' solvers:
 - ▶ matrix-free highly optimized impl. (Grid, Quda, QPhiX)
 - ▶ does require kokkos mapping (raw arrays vs kokkos view objects)
- Test of Trilinos' TSQR implementation (Trilinos tpetra package):
 - ▶ idea is to consider Trilinos as a collection of specialized low-level LA routines
 - ▶ no (GPU-specific) kokkos realization for TSQR yet, WIP